## All Fund Risk Heat Map and Summary of Governance Risks

			Governa	nce Risks			Impact	Funding & Investment Risks (includes accounting and audit)										
		7 4 3		2			Negligible					7						
			6 1				Marginal					5 8						
					5		Critical				3 - 4	≥1						
							Catastrophic											
Likelihood	Unlikely	Very Low	Low	Significant	Very High	Extremely High		Extremely High	Very High	Significant	Low	Very Low	Unlikely	Likelihood				
				5			Catastrophic	Key  1 Each risk is represented in the chart by a number in a square.										
				<b>2</b> – – ·	- 3		Critical	The number denotes the risk number on the risk register. The location of the square denotes the current risk exposure.  The background colour within the square denotes the target risk exposure.										
		6€					Marginal		New risks si	v risks since the last reporting date are denoted with a blue and white border.								
			4 1				Negligible		, a ac	An arrow denotes a change in the risk exposure since the previous reporting date, with the arrow coming from the previous risk exposure.								
	·	Admini	stration & Co	ommunicatio	n Risks	•	Impact											

## Clwyd Pension Fund - Control Risk Register

## Governance Risks

Objectives extracted from Governance Policy (03/2017), Training Policy (11/2015) and Procedures for Reporting Breaches of the Law (11/2015)

C. Act In the best intensits of the Fund's members and employes

C. How to be the process of the Fund's members and employes

C. How to be the process of the Fund's members and employes

C. How to be the process of the Fund's members and employes

C. How to be the present employees

C. How to be the present employees

C. How to be the present employees

C. How the present

Risk no:	Risk Overview (this will happen)	Risk Description (if this happens)	Strategic objectives at risk (see key)	Current Impact (see key)	Current Likelihood (see kev)	Current Risk Status	Internal controls in place	Target Impact (see key)	Target Likelihood (see kev)	Target Risk Status	Meets target?	Date Not Met Target From	Expected Back on Target	Further Action and Owner	Risk Manager	Next review date	Last Updated
1	Losses or other determintal impact on the Fund or its stakeholders	Risk is not identified and/or appropriately considered (recognishing that many risks can be identified but not managed to any degree of certainty)	All	Marginal	Low	Status	1 - Risk policy in place 2 - Risk register in place and key risks/invowments considered quarterly and reported to each PFC 3 - Advisory panel meets at least quarterly discussing changing environment etc 4 - Fundamental review of risk register annually 5 - TPR Code Compliance review completed annually 6 - Annual internal and external audit reviews 7 - Breaches procedure also assists in Identifying key risks	Marginal	Low	Gratus	<b>©</b>		1015	None	Head of CPF	31/05/2020	27/01/2020
2	Inappropriate or no decisions are made	Governance (particularly at PFC) is poor including due to: - short appointments - poor knowledge and advice - poor engagement /preparation / - poor oversight	G1/G2/G3/ G4/G5/G6/ G7	Negligible	Significant		Independent advisor focussing on governance including annual report considering structure, behaviour and knowledge 2 - Owersight by Load Pension Board 3 - Annual check against TPR Code 4 - Training Policy, Plan and nonclitroing in place for PC and PB 5 - Training Needs self assessment carried out (January 2018) and training programme reviewed based on results 5 - There is a range of professional advisors covering all Fund responsibilities guiding the PC, PB and officers in their responsibilities of - Induction training programme in place for new Committee on the Selfice of the Committee in the Constitution allows for members to be on the Committee in the Constitution allows for members to be on the Committee for between 4-6 years but they can be re-appointed.	Negligible	Low		Current likelihood 1 too high	03/06/2019	Mar 2020	Training plan for new committee members to be delivered (in progress) (PL)     Further self assessment of training needs to be carried out (PL)	Head of CPF	31/03/2020	27/01/2020
3	Our legal fiduciary responsibilities are not met	Decisions, particularly at PFC level, are influenced by conflicts of interest and therefor may not be in the best interest of fund members and employers	G1/G2/G4/ G6/T2	Negligible	Very Low		1 - Conflicts of Interest policy focused on fiduciary responsibility regularly decused and reviewed 2 - Independent achiero frocussing on governance including annual report considering structure, behaviour and knowledge 3 - All stakeholders to which fiduciary responsibility applies represented at PFC and PB 4 - Training Policy, Plan and monitoring in place for PC and PB 5 - There is a range of professional advisors covering all Fund responsibilities guiding the PC, PB and officers in their responsibilities 6 - Clear strategies and policies in place with Fund objectives which are aligned with fictuary responsibility 7 - PFC and PB members trained on fiduciary responsibility and the CPF Conflicts Policy	Negligible	Very Low		<b>©</b>				Head of CPF	31/05/2020	27/01/2020
4	Appropriate objectives are not agreed or monitored - internal factors	Policies not in place or not being monitored	G2 / G7	Negligible	Very Low		Range of policies in place and all reviewed at least every three years     Review of policy dates included in business plan     Monitoring of all objectives at least annually (work in progress)     Policies subplate how monitoring is carried out and frequency     Business plan in place and regularly monitored	Negligible	Unlikely		Current likelihood 1 too high	01/07/2016	Mar 2020	1- Ensure work relating to annual monitoring is completed and included in PFC papers (PL)	Dep. Head of CPF	31/03/2020	27/01/2020
5	The Fund's objectives/legal responsibilities are not met or are compromised - external factors	Externally led influence and change such scheme change, national reorganisation, cybercrime and asset pooling	G1/G4/G6/ G7	Critical	Very High		1 - Continued discussions at AP, PFC and PB regarding this risk 2 - Involvement of CEO / links to WLGA and WG 3 - Fund's consultants involved at national level/regularly reporting back APPEC 4 - Key areas of potential a APPEC 5 - Asses pointing ongoing monitoring) 5 - Asses poling IRA in place 6 - Officers on Walse Pool OWG 7 - Ongoing monitoring of oybercrime risk by AP	Marginal	Low		Current impact 1 too high Current likelihood 2 too high	28/02/2017	Mar 2021	Regular ongoing monitoring by AP to consider if any action is necessary around asset pooling, cost cap and McCloud judgement (PL)     Finsure Board requests to JGC/OWG are responded to (PL)     did to the consideration of the considera	Head of CPF	31/03/2020	27/01/2020
6	Services are not being delivered to meet legal and policy objectives	Insufficient staff numbers (e.g. sickness, resignation, retirement, unable to recruit) - current issues include age profile, implementation of asset pools and local authority pay grades.	G3/G6/G7/ T1	Marginal	Low		1 - 2018/19 business plan includes workforce matters 2 - Review of admin structure in 2015/16 3 - Finance team restructure commenced (2017/18) 4 - Quarterly update reports consider resourcing matters 5 - Advisory Panel provide back up when required 6 - Additional resources, such as outsourcing, considered as part of business plan 7 - Staff reviews implemented and most vacant positions now recruited to (admin and finance)	Negligible	Very Low		Current impact 1 too high Current likelihood 1 too high	01/07/2016	Mar 2020	Recruit to vacant governance and business role (PL)     2 - Ongoing consideration of succession planning (PL)     3 - Continue training of new and newly promoted staff (PL)	Head of CPF	31/03/2020	27/01/2020
7	Legal requirements and/or guidance are not complied with	Those tasked with managing the Fund are not appropriately trained or do not understand their responsibilities (including recording and reporting breaches)	G3/G6/T1/ T2/B1/B2	Negligible	Very Low		1 - TPR Code Compliance review completed annually 2 - Annual internal and external audit reviews 3 - Breaches procedure also assists in identifying non-compliance areas (relevant individuals provided with a copy and training provided) 4 - Training policy in piace (fundamental to understanding legal requirements) 5 - Use of nationally developed administration system 6 - Documented processes and procedures 7 - Strategies and policies often included statements or measures around legal requirements/guidance 8 - Wide range of advisers and AP in place 9 - Independent adviser in place including annual report which will highlight concerns 10 - Outstanding actions relating to TPR Code reviewed regularly	Negligible	Very Low		©			1 - Further documented processes (as part of TPR compliance) e.g. contribution payment failure (DF)	Head of CPF	31/05/2020	27/01/2020